



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/064,837	1,837 08/22/2002		Aldo A. Laghi	1098.30	3118		
21901	7590	02/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SMITH &	HOPEN P	'A	STEWART	STEWART, ALVIN J			
15950 BAY	VISTA DI	RIVE					
SUITE 220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CLEARWA	TER, FL	33760	3738				

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<i>('\fi</i>				
4		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
ን		10/064,837		LAGHI, ALDO A.					
eis.	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Alvin J Stew	art _	3738					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence address	5				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN IT IS COMMUNION IN IT IN IT IS COMMUNION IN IT IN IT IS COMMUNION IN IT IN IT IN IT IN IT IN IT IN IT IN	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event unication.)) days, a reply within the statuto tutory period will apply and will e will, by statute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tir ry minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tition to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status									
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
,—	•	2b)⊠ This action is nor	n-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	r 2002 is/are: a)⊠ acception to the drawing(s) be the correction is required	held in abeyance. Set if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notion Notion Notion Notion	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		:)				

'Application/Control Number: 10/064,837

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/064,850. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claim 1 (line 14) and claim 20 (line 14) of the application and claim 1 (lines 19 & 20) and claim 20 (lines 14 & 15) of the copending application lies in the fact that the copending claims include many more elements and is thus much more specific. Thus the copending invention of claim 1 and 20 is in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claims 1 and 20. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". See In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since claims 1 and 20 is anticipated by claims 1 and 20 of the copending application, it is not patentably distinct from claims 1 and 20 of the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 3738

NOTE: The Applicant has not disclosed where the gradual ninety-degree bend starts, therefore, the elongated slot of the copending application 10/064,850 ends in the gradual ninety-degree bend. Hence, the application reads on the copending application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin Stewart February 18, 2004.